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## Topics

- Time scales
- Interval counting
- Cycle counters
- K-best measurement scheme
class11.ppt


## Computer Time Scales



Two Fundamental Time Scales Implication

## - Processor: <br> $\sim_{10-9} \mathrm{sec}$.

- External events: $\sim_{10^{-2}} \mathbf{~ s e c}$.
- Screen refresh
- Can execute many instructions while waiting for external event to occur
- Can alternate among processes without anyone noticing


## "Time" on a Computer System

| $Z$ | $Z$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

real (wall clock) time
$\square$ = user time (time executing instructions in the user process)
$\% /$
= system time (time executing instructions in kernel on behalf of user process)
$\square$ = some other user's time (time executing instructions in different user's process)

$+\mathscr{D} \boldsymbol{D}+$ $\qquad$ = real (wall clock) time We will use the word "time" to refer to user time.

## Activity Periods: Light Load

$$
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## Activity Periods: Heavy Load



- Sharing processor with one other active process
- From perspective of this process, system appears to be "inactive" for $\sim 50 \%$ of the time
- Other process is executing
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## Interval Counting

OS Measures Runtimes Using Interval Timer

- Maintain 2 counts per process
- User time
- System time
- Each time get timer interrupt, increment counter for executing process
- User time if running in user mode
- System time if running in kernel mode


## Unix time Command

time make osevent
gec -02 -Wall -g -march=i486 -c clock.c
gcc -02 -Wall -g -march=i486 -c options.c
gcc -02 -Wall g -
$0.820 \mathrm{u} 0.300 \mathrm{~s} 0: 01.3284 .8 \% \quad 0+0 \mathrm{k}$ 0+0io 4049pf+0w

- 0.82 seconds user time
- 82 timer intervals
- 0.30 seconds system time
- 30 timer intervals
- 1.32 seconds wall time
- $84.8 \%$ of total was used running these processes
- $(.82+0.3) / 1.32=.848$


## Accuracy of Interval Counting


$0 \quad 1020 \quad 304050607080$

## Worst Case Analysis

- Timer Interval = $\boldsymbol{\delta}$
- Single process segment measurement can be off by $\pm \delta$
- No bound on error for multiple segments
- Could consistently underestimate, or consistently overestimate


## Accuracy of Interval Couting (cont.)


$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}0 & 10 & 20 & 30 & 40 & 50 & 60 & 70 & 80\end{array}$

## Average Case Analysis

- Over/underestimates tend to balance out
- As long as total run time is sufficiently large
- Min run time $\sim 1$ second
- 100 timer intervals
- Consistently miss 4\% overhead due to timer interrupts


## Cycle Counters

- Most modern systems have built in registers that are incremented every clock cycle
- Very fine grained
- Maintained as part of process state
" In Linux, counts elapsed global time
- Special assembly code instruction to access
- On (recent model) Intel machines:
- 64 bit counter.
- RDTSC instruction sets \%edx to high order 32-bits, \%eax to low order 32-bits


## Cycle Counter Period

Wrap Around Times for 550 MHz machine

- Low order 32 bits wrap around every $2^{32} /\left(550\right.$ * $\left.10^{6}\right)=$ 7.8 seconds
- High order 64 bits wrap around every $2^{64} /\left(550\right.$ * $\left.10^{6}\right)=$ 33539534679 seconds
- 1065 years


## For 2 GHz machine

- Low order 32-bits every 2.1 seconds
- High order 64 bits every 293 years


## Measuring with Cycle Counter

## Idea

- Get current value of cycle counter
- store as pair of unsigned's cyc_hi and cyc_lo
- Compute something
- Get new value of cycle counter
- Perform double precision subtraction to get elapsed cycles
/* Keep track of most recent reading of cycle counter */ static unsigned cyc_hi $=0$
static unsigned cyc-lo $=0$;
void start counter ()
1/* Get current value of cycle counter */ access_counter (\&cyc_hi, \&cyc_lo) ;
\}


## Accessing the Cycle Cntr.

- GCC allows inline assembly code with mechanism for matching registers with program variables
- Code only works on $\mathbf{x 8 6}$ machine compiling with GCC
void access_counter (unsigned *hi, unsigned *lo)
1
/* Get cycle counter */
asm("rdtsc; movl \%\%edx, \%0; movl \%\%eax, \%1"
"=r" (*hi), "=r" (*lo
"No input *)
\%edx
- Emit assembly with rdtsc and two movl instructions


## Closer Look at Extended ASM

```
asm("Instruction String"
    Output List
        Input List
        Clobbers List);
}
void access_counter
    void access_counter
1
    asm("rdtsc; movl)
    asm("ratsc; movl %%edx,%0;
            /* No input */
            "%edx", "%eax")
                }
```

Instruction String
- Series of assembly commands
- Separated by "; " or " $\backslash \mathrm{n}$ "
- Use " $\%$ " where normally would use " $\%$ "

## Closer Look at Extended ASM

```
asm("Instruction String
```

    Output List
    Input List void access_counter
    Clobbers 1 (unsigned *hi, unsigned *lo)
    3
Clobbers
/* Get cycle counter */
asm("rdtsc; movl \%\%edx, \%0; movl \%\%eax, \%1
"=r" (*hi), "=r" (*lo)
: /* No input */
: "\%edx", "\%eax");
Output List

- Expressions indicating destinations for values $\% 0, \% 1, \ldots, \% j$ - Enclosed in parentheses
- Must be Ivalue
" Value that can appear on LHS of assignment
- Tag "=r" indicates that symbolic value ( $\% 0$, etc.), should be replaced by register


## Closer Look at Extended ASM

## asm("Instruction String

Output List
Input List void access_counter
Clobbers 1 (unsigned *hi, unsigned *lo)
\} i
/* Get cycle counter */
asm("rdtsc; movl \%\%edx, \%0; movl \%\%eax, \%1
=r" (*hi), "=r" (*10)
/* No input */
: "\%edx", "\%eax");
Input List

- Series of expressions indicating sources for values $\% j+1, \% j+2$,
- Enclosed in parentheses
- Any expression returning value
- Tag " $r$ " indicates that symbolic value ( $\% 0$, etc.) will come from register
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## Accessing the Cycle Cntr. (cont.)

## Emitted Assembly Code



- Used \%ecx for *hi (replacing \%0)
- Used \%ebx for *lo (replacing \%1)
- Does not use \%eax or \%edx for value that must be carried across inserted assembly code


## Completing Measurement

- Get new value of cycle counter
- Perform double precision subtraction to get elapsed cycles
- Express as double to avoid overflow problems

```
double get_counter()
i
    unsigned ncyc_hi, ncyc_10
    unsigned hi, lo, borrow;
    /* Get cycle counter */
    access_counter(&ncyc_hi, &ncyc_lo);
    /* Do double precision subtraction *
    lo = ncyc lo - cycio;
    borrow = lo > ncyc_lo;
    hi = ncyc_hi - cyc_hi - borrow;
    return (double) hi * (1 << 30) * 4 + 10;
```

\}

## Timing With Cycle Counter

Determine Clock Rate of Processor

- Count number of cycles required for some fixed number of seconds
double MHZ;
int sleep_time $=10$
start_counter();
sleep (sleep_time) ;
MHZ $=$ get counter ()$/($ sleep time * 1e6);


## Time Function $\mathbf{P}$

- First attempt: Simply count cycles for one execution of $P$

```
    double tsecs;
    start_counter()
    P();
tsecs = get_counter() / (MHZ * 1e6);
tsecs \(=\) get_counter () / (MHZ * 1e6) ;
```


## Dealing with Overhead \& Cache <br> Effects

- Always execute function once to "warm up" cache
- Keep doubling number of times execute $P()$ until reach some threshold
- Used CMIN = 50000

```
nt cnt = 1;
    double cmeas = 0;
    double cycles;
    do {
        int c = cnt;
        P();
        /* Warm up cache */
    get_counter();
    while (c-- > 0)
    P();
    meas = get_counter();
        ycles = cmeas / cnt
    cnt += cnt;
    } while (cmeas < CMIN); /* Make sure have enough */
return cycles / (1e6 * MHZ);
```


## Measurement Pitfalls

## Overhead

- Calling get_counter () incurs small amount of overhead
- Want to measure long enough code sequence to compensate


## Unexpected Cache Effects

- artificial hits or misses
- e.g., these measurements were taken with the Alpha cycle counter:
foo1 (array1, array2, array3); /* 68,829 cycles */ foo2 (array1, array2, array3); /* 23,337 cycles */ vs.
foo2 (array1, array2, array3); /* 70,513 cycles */ foo1 (array1, array2, array3); /* 23,203 cycles */


## Multitasking Effects

Cycle Counter Measures Elapsed Time

- Keeps accumulating during periods of inactivity
- System activity
- Running other processes


## Key Observation

- Cycle counter never underestimates program run time
- Possibly overestimates by large amount


## K-Best Measurement Scheme

- Perform up to $\mathbf{N}$ (e.g., 20) measurements of function
- See if fastest K (e.g., 3) within some relative factor $\varepsilon$ (e.g., 0.001)





Acceptable accuracy for $<50 \mathrm{~ms}$ Less accurate of $>10 \mathrm{~ms}$

- Scheduler allows process to - Light load: $2 \%$ error
run multiple intervals
- Light load: 2\% error
- Heavy load: Generally very high error


## Time of Day Clock

- Unix gettimeofday () function
- Return elapsed time since reference time (Jan 1, 1970)
- Implementation
- Uses interval counting on some machines
" Coarse grained
- Uses cycle counter on others
" Fine grained, but significant overhead and only 1 microsecond resolution

```
#include <sys/time.h>
```

\#include <unistd.h>
struct timeval tstart, tfinish;
double tsecs;
gettimeofday(\&tstart, NULL);
gettimeofday(\&tfinish, NULL)
tsecs $=\left(t f i n i s h . t v \_s e c ~-~ t s t a r t . t v \_s e c\right) ~+~+~$ 1e6 * (tfinish.tv_usec - tstart.tv_usec)

## Measurement Summary

Timing is highly case and system dependent

- What is overall duration being measured?
$\bullet>1$ second: interval counting is OK
- << 1 second: must use cycle counters
- On what hardware / OS / OS version?
- Accessing counters
" How gettimeofday is implemented
- Timer interrupt overhead
- Scheduling policy


## Devising a Measurement Method

- Long durations: use Unix timing functions
- Short durations
- If possible, use gettimeofday
- Otherwise must work with cycle counters
- K-best scheme most successful


## K-Best Using gettimeofday


Linux
Windows

- As good as using cycle counter
For times > 10 microseconds

Implemented by interval counting

- Too coarse-grained

