UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

THE HONORABLE STEPHEN V. WILSON, JUDGE PRESIDING

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

Case No. CR 02-884-SVW

SHERMAN MARTIN AUSTIN,

Defendant.

☐ COPY

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

(SENTENCING)

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MONDAY, AUGUST 4, 2003

11:00 A.M.

KAREN R. PINN, CSR 5574
Official Court Reporter
United States District Court
312 North Spring Street, Room 410
Los Angeles, California 90012
(213) 626-3646

1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
2	ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA:
3	ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA:
4	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE BY: ROD CASTRO-SILVA
5	ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 312 North Spring Street
6	Los Angeles, California 90012
7	
8	ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT, SHERMAN MARTIN AUSTIN:
9	FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE
10	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BY: RONALD KAYE
11	DEPUTY FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER 321 East Second Street
12	Los Angeles, California 90012
13	
14	·
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
21	
22	
23	

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; MONDAY, AUGUST 4, 2003; 11:00 A.M.

THE CLERK: Item 9, CR 02-884-SVW, United States of America v. Sherman Martin Austin.

Counsel, please state your appearances.

MR. CASTRO-SILVA: Good morning, Your Honor. Rod Castro-Silva for the United States.

MR. KAYE: Good morning, Your Honor. Ronald Kaye, appearing on behalf of Mr. Sherman Martin Austin who is present before the Court.

THE COURT: This is the time for further hearing on the sentencing of the defendant. We recessed, after some extended colloquy, because the Court was surprised at the recommendation by the government and wanted some clarification.

It should be understood that the government's recommendation is only a part of the mix that ultimately determines the sentence, but the Court certainly considers what the defendant puts forth, and the defendant has put forth a substantial amount of material in connection with the sentencing. So the Court was seeking some further input from the government.

Before we begin even further, can you tell me, Mr. Castro-Silva, what, if anything, has changed, in the government's opinion?

MR. CASTRO-SILVA: Your Honor, nothing has changed from the last hearing with respect to the government's opinion

and recommendation.

THE COURT: Did you follow through as I suggested?

MR. CASTRO-SILVA: Yes, Your Honor, I did.

THE COURT: All right. Very well. Then you were,

Mr. Kaye, presenting your position. I think you had made a

substantial presentation, but certainly you have the right to

continue on, if you wish, and/or present -- or have Mr. Austin

make a presentation on his behalf.

MR. KAYE: Your Honor, just briefly. We would ask the Court to sentence Mr. Austin to the recommendation of the parties, which would be the four months in custody and the four months in a halfway house.

There is only one other issue with regard to supervision. Would the Court like me to address that now?

THE COURT: That was item 7, I believe.

MR. KAYE: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I reviewed that. As a matter of law, it seems to me that the recommendation is within the law as I read the cases. I take it you are not arguing the legality of that recommendation but the necessity?

MR. KAYE: That's correct, Your Honor. Your Honor, what we would ask the Court to impose, as a condition of supervised release, that there be a mandatory meet and confer between the probation office and Mr. Austin, and if the probation office should determine that there is a violation of

the conditions of supervised release, that he is engaged in interacting with individuals who profess some type of antigovernment and violent agenda.

The reason being, Your Honor, I gave you a hypothetical. My experience with Mr. Austin -- and I've been with this case for approximately two years -- is that the group he's involved with essentially feeds the homeless and goes -- and goes to protests.

manifested any kind of violence. And if he should be with a group where somebody made some off-the-cuff statement that seems like "Let's take over the government," for instance, just something like that, inherently, that would be a violation of the condition of supervision, and he would be forced to refrain from interacting and associating with that group.

There is no -- there has never been anything that has

So what I would ask the Court to impose is that prior to being brought forth before Your Honor as a revocation proceeding, that Mr. Austin at least have the opportunity to meet with the probation officer, discuss who the individual was or the group is, make a determination; and if we need to have some kind of clarification by the Court, then we would perhaps --

THE COURT: Don't you think that would happen as a matter of course? It seems to me that only in situations that involved imminent risk or danger does the probation department

seek a bench warrant.

Most often, if there is some question, they discuss it with the person on supervised release and try to resolve it.

Isn't that your experience?

MR. CASTRO-SILVA: Your Honor, that's my experience and my understanding also from the probation officer's response to the defendant's suggested meet and confer in the addendum to the PSR.

The probation officer is going to be in continuous contact with Mr. Austin --

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. CASTRO-SILVA: -- during his period of supervised release. There is, in essence, already a meet and confer. I think to make it mandatory would --

THE COURT: I think it's built in. And my experience is that, especially with a condition such as that, the probation officer would certainly investigate; and unless it was crystal clear that there was a violation, confer with the defendant or others to better understand the nature of the organization.

MR. KAYE: Very well, Your Honor. Then based on the pleadings, the report of the psychologist, the letters of all of -- of several adults who have known Mr. Austin for 15 years, at minimum, we would submit and we would request the Court to follow the recommendation of the parties.

Mr. Austin would like to address the Court.

THE COURT: All right. This is his opportunity. Go ahead, Mr. Austin.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, basically, when this happened, I wasn't really thinking. A lot has changed since then. It's been about two years. Now I realize that what happened was a lot more serious than I thought about it. As I say, a lot has changed since then. I've matured a lot since then. It happened when I was 18. I'm 20 now.

And now I'm just basically, you know, I'm more focused on just getting on with my life. You know, I want to, you know, hopefully pursue a career in music or computer programming, something along that lines. But, basically, I pretty much understand that this was pretty serious, and that I would be devastated if someone used this information to harm others.

THE COURT: Very well. Any legal cause why sentence should not now be imposed?

MR. KAYE: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, it is the judgment of the Court that the defendant, Sherman Martin Austin, is hereby committed on the single count information to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons for a term of 12 months.

Upon release from imprisonment, the defendant shall

1

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

be placed on supervised release for a term of three years, under the following terms and conditions -- incidentally, is three years the maximum amount of supervised release?

MR. CASTRO-SILVA: Your Honor, I'm not sure of the answer to that. Let me check. It is three years, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

-- under the following terms and conditions: (1) he shall comply with the rules and regulations of the U.S. Probation Office and General Order 318; (2) he shall not possess or access any computer or computer-related device in any manner for any purpose without the prior approval of the probation officer. If the defendant receives prior approval from the probation officer, he shall use only those computers and computer-related devices, screen, user names, passwords, e-mail accounts, and Internet service providers as approved by the probation officer.

If the defendant obtains prior approval from the probation officer to access or possess a computer, all such computers, computer-related devices, and peripheral equipment used by the defendant shall be subject to search and seizures, and the installation of search and/or monitoring software and/or hardware, including unannounced seizure, for the purpose of search.

The defendant shall not upgrade, reinstall, repair, or modify the hardware or software on the computers,

computer-related devices, or their peripheral equipment, nor shall he hide or encrypt files or data without the prior approval of the probation officer.

He shall provide all billing records, including telephone, cable, Internet, satellite, and the like, as requested by the probation officer.

He shall not knowingly negotiate with any organization or any member thereof which espouses violence or physical force as a means of intimidation or achieving economic, social, or political change.

The drug testing condition is suspended based upon the determination that the defendant poses a low risk of future substance abuse.

He shall pay a special assessment of a hundred dollars, and he shall pay a fine to the United States in the amount of \$2,000. The fine is payable in monthly installments of a hundred dollars. The payment shall begin 30 days after commencement of supervision.

I will allow the defendant to self-surrender. When will he be prepared to do that? I usually give defendants three weeks.

MR. KAYE: We ask for 30 days, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I'll give him 30 days. He is ordered to self-surrender to the designated facility within 30 days from today.

1 What date would that be, Paul? 2 That would be September 3rd. THE CLERK: 3 THE COURT: If one isn't designated by that date, he is to self-surrender to the United States Marshal in this 4 building. 5 MR. KAYE: Your Honor, we ask for a recommendation 6 7 within the Los Angeles region so he can keep in contact with 8 his mother in particular. THE COURT: I'll make a recommendation for Southern 9 10 California. I don't know if there is a facility in this region 11 except for Terminal Island. Are there any counts to be dismissed? 12 13 MR. CASTRO-SILVA: No, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: All right. 15 Bond is exonerated upon surrender. 16 MR. KAYE: Thank you. 17 (Proceedings concluded.) 18 19 I certify that the foregoing is a true and correct 20 transcript from the stenographic record of 21 proceedings in the foregoing matter. 22 23 Official Reporter 24 25