

Relational Calculus

15-415, Spring 2003, Lecture 10
R&G, Chapter 4

We will occasionally use this
arrow notation unless there
is danger of no confusion.

Ronald Graham
Elements of Ramsey Theory



Relational Calculus

- Comes in two flavors: *Tuple relational calculus* (TRC) and *Domain relational calculus* (DRC).
- Calculus has *variables, constants, comparison ops, logical connectives* and *quantifiers*.
 - TRC: Variables range over (i.e., get bound to) *tuples*.
 - Like SQL.
 - DRC: Variables range over *domain elements* (= field values).
 - Like Query-By-Example (QBE)
 - Both TRC and DRC are simple subsets of first-order logic.
- Expressions in the calculus are called *formulas*.
- Answer tuple is an assignment of constants to variables that make the formula evaluate to *true*.



Tuple Relational Calculus

- **Query** has the form: $\{T \mid p(T)\}$
 - $p(T)$ denotes a formula in which tuple variable T appears.
- **Answer** is the set of all tuples T for which the *formula* $p(T)$ evaluates to *true*.
- **Formula** is recursively defined:
 - ❖ start with simple *atomic formulas* (get tuples from relations or make comparisons of values)
 - ❖ build bigger and better formulas using the *logical connectives*.



TRC Formulas

- **An Atomic formula is one of the following:**
 - $R \in Rel$
 - $R.a \text{ op } S.b$
 - $R.a \text{ op } constant$
 - op is one of $\langle, \rangle, =, \leq, \geq, \neq$
- **A formula can be:**
 - an atomic formula
 - $\neg p, p \wedge q, p \vee q, p \Rightarrow q$ where p and q are formulas
 - $\exists R(p(R))$ where variable R is a tuple variable
 - $\forall R(p(R))$ where variable R is a tuple variable



Free and Bound Variables

- The use of quantifiers $\exists X$ and $\forall X$ in a formula is said to **bind** X in the formula.
 - A variable that is not bound is free.
- Let us revisit the definition of a query:
 - $\{T \mid \rho(T)\}$
- There is an important restriction
 - the variable T that appears to the left of \mid must be the *only* free variable in the formula $\rho(T)$.
 - in other words, all other tuple variables must be bound using a quantifier.



Selection and Projection

- Find all sailors with rating above 7
$$\{S \mid S \in \text{Sailors} \wedge S.\text{rating} > 7\}$$
 - Modify this query to answer: Find sailors who are older than 18 or have a rating under 9, and are called 'Bob'.
- Find names and ages of sailors with rating above 7.
$$\{S \mid \exists S1 \in \text{Sailors}(S1.\text{rating} > 7 \\ \wedge S.\text{sname} = S1.\text{sname} \\ \wedge S.\text{age} = S1.\text{age})\}$$
 - Note, here S is a tuple variable of 2 fields (i.e. $\{S\}$ is a projection of *sailors*), since only 2 fields are ever mentioned and S is never used to range over any relations in the query.



Joins

Find sailors rated > 7 who've reserved boat #103

$$\{S \mid S \in \text{Sailors} \wedge S.\text{rating} > 7 \wedge \\ \exists R(R \in \text{Reserves} \wedge R.\text{sid} = S.\text{sid} \\ \wedge R.\text{bid} = 103)\}$$

Note the use of \exists to find a tuple in Reserves that 'joins with' the Sailors tuple under consideration.



Joins (continued)

$$\{S \mid S \in \text{Sailors} \wedge S.\text{rating} > 7 \wedge \\ \exists R(R \in \text{Reserves} \wedge R.\text{sid} = S.\text{sid} \\ \wedge \exists B(B \in \text{Boats} \wedge B.\text{bid} = R.\text{bid} \\ \wedge B.\text{color} = \text{'red'}))\}$$

Find sailors rated > 7 who've reserved a red boat

- Observe how the parentheses control the scope of each quantifier's binding.
- This may look cumbersome, but it's not so different from SQL!



Division (makes more sense here???)

Find sailors who've reserved all boats
(*hint, use \forall*)

$$\{S \mid S \in \text{Sailors} \wedge \\ \forall B \in \text{Boats} (\exists R \in \text{Reserves} \\ (S.\text{sid} = R.\text{sid} \\ \wedge B.\text{bid} = R.\text{bid}))\}$$

- Find all sailors S such that for each tuple B in Boats there is a tuple in Reserves showing that sailor S has reserved it.



Division – a trickier example...

Find sailors who've reserved all Red boats

$$\{S \mid S \in \text{Sailors} \wedge \\ \forall B \in \text{Boats} (B.\text{color} = \text{'red'} \Rightarrow \\ \exists R (R \in \text{Reserves} \wedge S.\text{sid} = R.\text{sid} \\ \wedge B.\text{bid} = R.\text{bid}))\}$$

Alternatively...

$$\{S \mid S \in \text{Sailors} \wedge \\ \forall B \in \text{Boats} (B.\text{color} \neq \text{'red'} \vee \\ \exists R (R \in \text{Reserves} \wedge S.\text{sid} = R.\text{sid} \\ \wedge B.\text{bid} = R.\text{bid}))\}$$



$a \Rightarrow b$ is the same as $\neg a \vee b$

		b	
		T	F
a	T	T	F
	F	T	T

- If a is true, b must be true for the implication to be true. If a is true and b is false, the implication evaluates to false.
- If a is not true, we don't care about b, the expression is always true.



Unsafe Queries, Expressive Power

- \exists syntactically correct calculus queries that have an infinite number of answers! Unsafe queries.
 - e.g., $\{S \mid \neg(S \in Sailors)\}$
 - Solution????? Don't do that!
- **Expressive Power (Theorem due to Codd):**
 - every query that can be expressed in relational algebra can be expressed as a safe query in DRC / TRC; the converse is also true.
- Relational Completeness: Query language (e.g., SQL) can express every query that is expressible in relational algebra/calculus. (actually, SQL is more powerful, as we will see...)



Summary

- The relational model has rigorously defined query languages — simple and powerful.
- Relational algebra is more operational
 - useful as internal representation for query evaluation plans.
- Relational calculus is non-operational
 - users define queries in terms of what they want, not in terms of how to compute it. (*Declarative*)
- Several ways of expressing a given query
 - a *query optimizer* should choose the most efficient version.
- Algebra and safe calculus have same *expressive power*
 - leads to the notion of *relational completeness*.



Addendum: Use of \forall

- $\forall x (P(x))$ - is only true if $P(x)$ is true for every x in the universe
- Usually:
$$\forall x ((x \in \text{Boats}) \Rightarrow (x.\text{color} = \text{“Red”}))$$
- \Rightarrow logical implication,
 $a \Rightarrow b$ means that if a is true, b must be true
 $a \Rightarrow b$ is the same as $\neg a \vee b$



Find sailors who've reserved all boats

$$\{S \mid S \in \text{Sailors} \wedge \\ \forall B((B \in \text{Boats}) \Rightarrow \\ \exists R(R \in \text{Reserves} \wedge S.\text{sid} = R.\text{sid} \\ \wedge B.\text{bid} = R.\text{bid}))\}$$

- Find all sailors S such that for each tuple B either it is not a tuple in Boats or there is a tuple in Reserves showing that sailor S has reserved it.

$$\{S \mid S \in \text{Sailors} \wedge \\ \forall B(\neg(B \in \text{Boats}) \vee \\ \exists R(R \in \text{Reserves} \wedge S.\text{sid} = R.\text{sid} \\ \wedge B.\text{bid} = R.\text{bid}))\}$$



... reserved all red boats

$$\{S \mid S \in \text{Sailors} \wedge \\ \forall B((B \in \text{Boats} \wedge B.\text{color} = \text{"red"}) \Rightarrow \\ \exists R(R \in \text{Reserves} \wedge S.\text{sid} = R.\text{sid} \\ \wedge B.\text{bid} = R.\text{bid}))\}$$

- Find all sailors S such that for each tuple B either it is not a tuple in Boats or there is a tuple in Reserves showing that sailor S has reserved it.

$$\{S \mid S \in \text{Sailors} \wedge \\ \forall B(\neg(B \in \text{Boats}) \vee (B.\text{color} \neq \text{"red"}) \vee \\ \exists R(R \in \text{Reserves} \wedge S.\text{sid} = R.\text{sid} \\ \wedge B.\text{bid} = R.\text{bid}))\}$$