## Relational Algebra

15-415 Spring 2003, Lecture 9 R\&G, Chapter 4

By relieving the brain of all unnecessary work, a good notation sets it free to
concentrate on more advanced problems, and, in effect, increases the mental power of the race.
-- Alfred North Whitehead (1861-1947)


## $\infty$ <br> Relational Query Languages

- Query languages: Allow manipulation and retrieval of data from a database.
- Relational model supports simple, powerful QLs:
- Strong formal foundation based on logic.
- Allows for much optimization.
- Query Languages != programming languages!
- QLs not expected to be "Turing complete".
- QLs not intended to be used for complex calculations.
- QLs support easy, efficient access to large data sets.


## Formal Relational Query Languages

Two mathematical Query Languages form the basis for "real" languages (e.g. SQL), and for implementation:
Relational Algebra: More operational, very useful for representing execution plans.

Relational Calculus: Lets users describe what they want, rather than how to compute it. (Non-procedural, declarative.)
$\boxtimes$ Understanding Algebra $\mathcal{E}$ Calculus is key to understanding SQL, query processing!

## Preliminaries

- A query is applied to relation instances, and the result of a query is also a relation instance.
- Schemas of input relations for a query are fixed (but query will run over any legal instance)
- The schema for the result of a given query is also fixed. It is determined by the definitions of the query language constructs.
- Positional vs. named-field notation:
- Positional notation easier for formal definitions, named-field notation more readable.
- Both used in SQL


## Relational Algebra: 5 Basic Operations

- Selection $(\sigma)$ Selects a subset of rows from relation (horizontal).
- Projection ( $\pi$ ) Retains only wanted columns from relation (vertical).
- Cross-product (X) Allows us to combine two relations.
- Set-difference (-) Tuples in r1, but not in r2.
- Union ( $\cup$ ) Tuples in r1 and/or in r2.

Since each operation returns a relation, operations can be composed! (Algebra is "closed".)


## Projection

- Examples: $\pi_{\text {age }}(S 2) ; \pi_{\text {sname,rating }}$
- Retains only attributes that are in the "projection list".
- Schema of result:
- exactly the fields in the projection list, with the same names that they had in the input relation.
- Projection operator has to eliminate duplicates (How do they arise? Why remove them?)
- Note: real systems typically don't do duplicate elimination unless the user explicitly asks for it. (Why not?)

| $\infty$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | sname | rating |
| Projection |  |  |  | yuppy | 9 |
|  |  |  |  | lubber | 8 |
|  |  |  |  | guppy | 5 |
|  |  |  |  | rusty | 10 |
| sid | sname | rating | age | $\pi_{\text {sname,rating }}(S 2)$ |  |
| 28 | yuppy | 9 | 35.0 |  |  |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |  |  |
| 44 | guppy | 5 | 35.0 |  |  |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |  |  |
| S2 |  |  |  | 35.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 55.5 |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\pi_{a g e}{ }^{(S 2)}$ |  |

## Selection ( $\sigma$ )

- Selects rows that satisfy selection condition.
- Result is a relation.

Schema of result is same as that of the input relation.

- Do we need to do duplicate elimination?


| sname | rating |
| :--- | :--- |
| yuppy | 9 |
| rusty | 10 |

$\sigma_{\text {rating }>8}(S 2) \quad \pi_{\text {sname,rating }}\left(\sigma_{\text {rating }>8}(S 2)\right)$

## Union and Set-Difference

- All of these operations take two input relations, which must be union-compatible:
- Same number of fields.
- `Corresponding' fields have the same type.
- For which, if any, is duplicate elimination required?



## Set Difference

| sid | sname | rating | age | sid | sname | rating | age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 | 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 |
| 31 58 | lubber rusty | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55.5 \\ & 35.0 \end{aligned}$ | $S 1-S 2$ |  |  |  |

S1

| sid | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 28 | yuppy | 9 | 35.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 44 | guppy | 5 | 35.0 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |


| sid | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 28 | yuppy | 9 | 35.0 |
| 44 | guppy | 5 | 35.0 |
| $S 2-S 1$ |  |  |  |

## Cross-Product

- S1 x R1: Each row of S1 paired with each row of R1.
- Q: How many rows in the result?
- Result schema has one field per field of S1 and R1, with field names `inherited' if possible.
- May have a naming conflict. Both S1 and R1 have a field with the same name.
- In this case, can use the renaming operator.

$$
\rho(C(1 \rightarrow \operatorname{sid} 1,5 \rightarrow \operatorname{sid} 2), S 1 \times R 1)
$$

## Cross Product Example

| sid | bid | day |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |

R1

| sid | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 | S1

R1 X S1 = | (sid) | sname | rating | age | (sid) | bid | day |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 | 22 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 | 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 | 22 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 | 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 | 22 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 | 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |

## Compound Operator: Intersection

- In addition to the 5 basic operators, there are several additional "Compound Operators"
- These add no computational power to the language, but are useful shorthands.
- Can be expressed solely with the basic ops.
- Intersection takes two input relations, which must be union-compatible.
- Q: How to express it using basic operators?

$$
R \cap S=R-(R-S)
$$



## Intersection

| sid | sname | rating | age |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |

S1

| sid | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 28 | yuppy | 9 | 35.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 44 | guppy | 5 | 35.0 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |


| sid | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |

$S 1 \cap S 2$

## Compound Operator: Join

- Joins are compound operators involving cross product, selection, and (sometimes) projection.
- Most common type of join is a "natural join" (often just called "join"). $\mathrm{R} \bowtie$ S conceptually is:
- Compute R X S
- Select rows where attributes that appear in both relations have equal values
- Project all unique atttributes and one copy of each of the common ones.
- Note: Usually done much more efficiently than this.
- Useful for putting "normalized" relations back together.


## Natural Join Example

| sid | bid | day |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |

R1

| sid | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |
| S1 |  |  |  |

R1 $\triangle$ S1 =

| sid | sname | rating | age | bid | day |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |

## Other Types of Joins

- Condition /oin (or "theta-join"):

$$
R \bowtie_{c} S=\sigma_{c}(R \times S)
$$

| (sid) | sname | rating | age | (sid) | bid | day |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 | 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 | 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |

$$
S 1 \bowtie_{S 1 . \text { sid }<R 1 . \text { sid }} R 1
$$

- Result schema same as that of cross-product.
- May have fewer tuples than cross-product.
- Equi-Joir: Special case: condition c contains only conjunction of equalities.


## Compound Operator: Division

- Useful for expressing "for all" queries like: Find sids of sailors who have reserved all boats.
- For $A / B$ attributes of $B$ are subset of attrs of $A$.
- May need to "project" to make this happen.
- E.g., let $A$ have 2 fields, $x$ and $y, B$ have only field $y$.

$$
A / B=\{\langle x\rangle \mid \forall\langle y\rangle \in B(\exists\langle x, y\rangle \in A)\}
$$

A/ $B$ contains all $x$ tuples such that for every $y$ tuple in $B$, there is an xytuple in $\boldsymbol{A}$.

## Examples of Division A/B

| sno | pno | pno | pno | pno |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| s1 | p1 | p2 | p2 | p1 |
| s1 | p2 | B1 | p4 | p2 |
| s1 | p3 |  | B2 | p4 |
| s1 | p4 |  |  | B3 |
| s2 | p1 | sno |  | B3 |
| s2 | p2 | s1 |  |  |
| s3 | p2 | s2 | sno |  |
| s4 | p2 | s3 | s1 | sno |
| s4 | p4 | s4 | s4 | s1 |
|  |  | A/B1 | A/B2 | A/B3 |

## Expressing A/B Using Basic Operators

- Division is not essential op; just a useful shorthand.
- (Also true of joins, but joins are so common that systems implement joins specially.)
- /dea: For $A / B$, compute all $x$ values that are not 'disqualified' by some $\boldsymbol{y}$ value in $B$.
- $x$ value is disqualified if by attaching $y$ value from $B$, we obtain an $x y$ tuple that is not in $A$.

Disqualified $x$ values: $\quad \pi_{x}\left(\left(\pi_{x}(A) \times B\right)-A\right)$
$A / B: \quad \pi_{x}(A)-$ Disqualified $x$ values
Examples

| Reserves | sid | bid | day |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | 22 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
|  | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Sailors | sid | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |

| Boats | bid | bname | color |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 101 | Interlake | Blue |  |
| 102 | Interlake | Red |  |
| 103 | Clipper | Green |  |
| 104 | Marine | Red |  |

Find names of sailors who've reserved boat \#103

- Solution 1: $\quad \pi_{\text {sname }}\left(\left(\sigma_{\text {bid=103 }}\right.\right.$ Reserves $) \bowtie$ Sailors $)$
- Solution 2: $\quad \pi_{\text {sname }}\left(\sigma_{b i d=103}(\right.$ Reserves $\bowtie$ Sailors $\left.)\right)$

Find names of sailors who've reserved a red boat

- Information about boat color only available in Boats; so need an extra join:
$\pi_{\text {sname }}\left(\left(\sigma_{\text {color }}={ }^{\prime}\right.\right.$ red' ${ }^{\prime}$ Boats $) \bowtie$ Reserves $\bowtie$ Sailors $)$
$\star$ A more efficient solution:
$\pi_{\text {sname }}\left(\pi_{\text {sid }}{ }^{\left(\left(\pi_{\text {bid }}\right.\right.} \sigma_{\text {color }=\text { ' red }}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\text {Boats })} \bowtie \operatorname{Res}\right) \bowtie$ Sailors $)$
$\boxtimes A$ query optimizer can find this given the first solution!

Find sailors who've reserved a red or a green boat

- Can identify all red or green boats, then find sailors who've reserved one of these boats:
$\rho\left(\right.$ Tempboats, $\left(\sigma_{\text {color }}=\right.$ ' red' $\vee$ color $=$ ' green' ${ }^{\prime}$ Boats $)$ ) $\pi_{\text {sname }}{ }^{(\text {Tempboats } \bowtie \operatorname{Reserves} \bowtie}$ Sailors)

Find sailors who've reserved a red and a green boat

- Previous approach won't work! Must identify sailors who've reserved red boats, sailors who've reserved green boats, then find the intersection (note that sid is a key for Sailors):
$\rho$ (Tempred, $\pi_{\text {sid }}\left(\left(\sigma_{\text {color }}=\right.\right.$ red $^{\prime}{ }^{\text {Boats })} \bowtie$ Reserves $\left.)\right)$
$\rho$ (Tempgreen, $\pi_{\text {sid }}\left(\left(\sigma_{\text {color }}=\right.\right.$ green' $^{\prime}$ Boats $) \bowtie$ Reserves $\left.)\right)$
$\pi_{\text {sname }}(($ Tempred $\cap$ Tempgreen $) \bowtie$ Sailors $)$

Find the names of sailors who've reserved all boats

- Uses division; schemas of the input relations to / must be carefully chosen:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho\left(\text { Tempsids, }\left(\pi_{\text {sid,bid }} \text { Reserves }\right) /\left(\pi_{\text {bid }} \text { Boats }\right)\right) \\
& \pi_{\text {sname }}(\text { Tempsids } \bowtie \text { Sailors })
\end{aligned}
$$

* To find sailors who've reserved all 'Interlake' boats:

$$
\ldots . . \quad / \pi_{\text {bid }}\left(\sigma_{\text {bname }=\text { ' Interlake' }} \text { Boats }\right)
$$

